Prompt: How far was the policy of Appeasement a significant event in international history (particularly 1923-1939)?

I. Introduction

- A. Background information (interesting facts, statistics, rhetorical questions)
 - 1. Policy of appearement was period of 1933-1939 that gave concessions for peace
 - 2. Was peace possible through other means or were these demands idle threats?
- B. Thesis Statement: (Include **Topic, Argument, 3 Reasons and 1 Counterargument**) The policy of appeasement was a significant event in international history because it (1) allowed Britain and others to improve their military strength, (2) it was a sound international policy if followed as agreed, and was best action given (3) weakness of League of Nations; however, (C1) this argument is weakened due to the significance of the Nazi party's rise and impact on Germany and the surrounding nations.

II. Gave time for improving military strength

(Reason # 1)

- A. <u>Lack of military strength and preparedness for war in 1933-1939</u> (Supporting idea #1)
 - 1. <u>Troop levels in Britain and France in 1933</u> (Example/detail)
 - 2. <u>No involvement in other nations conflicts (Italy, Spain, etc)</u> (Example/detail)
- B. <u>Increase in armament and troop levels in Britain and France</u> (Supporting idea #2)
 - 1. <u>Draft and shipbuilding increase between 1933-1939</u> (Example/detail)
 - 2. <u>Involvement in colonial conflicts increase near 1939</u> (Example/detail)

III. Sound international policy

(Reason # 2)

A. Small concessions for peace

(Supporting idea #1)

1. Remilitarization of Rhineland

(Example/detail)

2. <u>Anchluss wanted by both Austria and German people</u> (Example/detail)

B. Containment of Nazi growth

(Supporting idea #2)

- 1. <u>Spread towards Eastern Europe (Soviet Union ready and strong)</u> (Example/detail)
- 2. <u>Allows other nations to be on lookout for bad or aggressive behavior</u> (Example/detail)

IV. Weakness of League of Nations

(Reason # 3)

A. Military intervention lacking

(Supporting idea #1)

1. No intervention in Italy, Spain, Africa

(Example/detail)

2. <u>No country willing to commit combat troops and military support</u>

(Example/detail)

B. America not involved or interested

(Supporting idea #2)

1. Isolationist government

(Example/detail)

2. <u>Threat of Japanese in Pacific and economic depression still effecting major powers</u> (Example/detail)

V. Nazi Party's rise and impact

(Counterargument)

- A. <u>Without Nazi Party rise, Hitler and Germans would not be in position to make demands</u> (Supporting idea #1)
 - 1. <u>1923-1932 Nazi Party small and ineffective organization= no aggression</u> (Example/detail)
 - 2. <u>Hitler only in position due to massive gains made throughout government</u> (Example/detail)
- B. Nazi ideology pushed for Lebensraum

(Supporting idea #2)

- 1. <u>Inferiority of Slavs and other groups made expansion ok</u> (Example/detail)
- 2. <u>Areas taken by Treaty of Versailles constricted living space needed for economic</u> growth

(Example/detail)

VI. Conclusion

- A. The policy of appeasement was a significant event in international history because it (1) allowed Britain and others to improve their military strength, (2) it was a sound international policy if followed as agreed, and was best action given (3) weakness of League of Nations. (Restatement of the thesis/summary of aspects)
- B. <u>Counterargument good but overall aspects of appeasement make it more significant.</u> (Outlook for the future/Recommendations)